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#### Abstract

We construct graphs with lists of available colors for each vertex, such that the size of every list exceeds the maximum vertex-color degree, but there exists no proper coloring from the lists. This disproves a conjecture of Reed. © 2002 Wiley Periodicals, Inc. J Graph Theory 41: 106-109, 2002
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph, and let $\left\{L_{v}\right\}_{v \in V}$ be a family of sets. We think of $L_{v}$ as the list of available colors at the vertex $v$. A proper coloring of $G$ from the lists $\left\{L_{v}\right\}_{v \in V}$ is a function $f: V \rightarrow \bigcup_{v \in V} L_{v}$ such that $f(v) \in L_{v}$ for all $v \in V$, and $f(u) \neq f(v)$ whenever $\{u, v\} \in E$. This is an important variant of the standard graph coloring concept, known as list coloring (standard graph coloring is equivalent to list coloring where lists are the same for every vertex).

Clearly, if the size of every $L_{v}$ exceeds the maximum degree of $G$, then we can greedily produce a proper coloring of $G$ from the lists $\left\{L_{v}\right\}_{v \in V}$. This simple sufficient condition is not sensitive to the amount of overlap between lists of neighboring vertices. For example, if the lists of any two neighbors are disjoint, then a proper coloring exists whenever the lists are non-empty, regardless of the relation between their sizes and the maximum degree.

In an attempt to establish a sufficient condition that takes into account the overlap of neighboring lists, Reed [4] considered the vertex-color degrees. These are the numbers $d_{c}(v)$, defined for $v \in V$ and $c \in L_{v}$ by

$$
d_{c}(v)=\mid\left\{u \in V:\{u, v\} \in E \text { and } c \in L_{u}\right\} \mid .
$$

Reed made the following conjecture.
Conjecture (Reed). Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph, and let $\left\{L_{v}\right\}_{v \in V}$ be a family of sets. Suppose that for some non-negative integer $d$, the following two conditions hold:

$$
\begin{gathered}
d_{c}(v) \leq d \text { for every } v \in V \text { and every } c \in L_{v} \\
\left|L_{v}\right| \geq d+1 \text { for every } v \in V
\end{gathered}
$$

Then, there exists a proper coloring of $G$ from the lists $\left\{L_{v}\right\}_{v \in V}$.
In words, Reed conjectured that if the size of every $L_{v}$ exceeds the maximum vertex-color degree of $G$, then there exists a proper coloring of $G$ from the lists $\left\{L_{v}\right\}_{v \in V}$.

In order to state some partial results concerning this conjecture, we denote by $g(d)$ the smallest integer for which the statement of the conjecture, with $d+1$ replaced by $g(d)$, holds true. It is easy to see that $g(d) \geq d+1$ (e.g., consider the complete graph). It follows from a straightforward application of the Lovász Local Lemma that $g(d) \leq\lceil 2 e d\rceil$ for all positive integers $d$ (see Alon [2], Reed [4]). Haxell [3] improved this to $g(d) \leq 2 d$ for all positive integers $d$. For $d$ tending to infinity, Reed and Sudakov [5] proved that $g(d) \leq(1+o(1)) d$.

In Section 2, we present a construction showing that $g(d) \geq d+2$ for every integer $d \geq 2$. This disproves Reed's conjecture. We conclude this note in Section 3 with a few comments.

## 2. THE CONSTRUCTION

We will construct below, for every integer $d \geq 2$, a vertex-set $V$ and a family of graphs $\left\{G_{\alpha}=\left(V_{\alpha}, E_{\alpha}\right)\right\}_{\alpha \in I}$, where $I$ is a suitable index-set, such that $V_{\alpha} \subseteq V$ for all $\alpha \in I$, and the following properties are satisfied:
(1) For every $\alpha \in I$, the maximum degree of $G_{\alpha}$ is $d$.
(2) Every $v \in V$ belongs to $d+1$ of the vertex-sets $V_{\alpha}$.
(3) For every $\alpha, \beta \in I$ and every $u, v \in V_{\alpha} \cap V_{\beta}$, we have $\{u, v\} \in E_{\alpha}$ if and only if $\{u, v\} \in E_{\beta}$.
(4) There exists no choice of subsets $S_{\alpha} \subseteq V_{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in I$, with $S_{\alpha}$ independent in $G_{\alpha}$, so that $\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} S_{\alpha}=V$.

Assuming we have such a construction, let $G=(V, E)$ be the graph with edge-set $E=\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} E_{\alpha}$, and for every $v \in V$ let $L_{v}=\left\{\alpha \in I: v \in V_{\alpha}\right\}$ (i.e., each graph in the family corresponds to a color). It is straightforward to check that this will be a counterexample to the conjecture.

We proceed now to describe the construction. Let $d \geq 2$ be given. We take the vertex-set $V$ to be

$$
V=\bigcup_{i=1}^{2 d+2} W_{i}
$$

where the $W_{i}$ are pairwise disjoint sets, each of which is further decomposed as

$$
W_{i}=X_{i} \cup Y_{i},
$$

with $X_{i} \cap Y_{i}=\emptyset$, and

$$
\left|X_{i}\right|=d, \quad\left|Y_{i}\right|=d^{2}-d+1
$$

The index-set $I$ is of size $(2 d+2) d^{2}+d+3$, and the family $\left\{G_{\alpha}=\left(V_{\alpha}, E_{\alpha}\right)\right\}_{\alpha \in I}$ consists of three types of graphs:

- Type A: For every $i, 1 \leq i \leq 2 d+2$, we have $d^{2}$ graphs $G_{\alpha}$ whose vertex-sets are contained in $W_{i}$. Each of these graphs is a copy of the complete graph $K_{d+1}$ having one vertex in $X_{i}$ and $d$ vertices in $Y_{i}$. The vertices of these $d^{2}$ graphs are chosen so that every vertex in $X_{i}$ is covered $d$ times, all vertices in $Y_{i}$ but one are covered $d+1$ times, and the remaining vertex in $Y_{i}$, say $y_{i}$, is covered $d$ times. This is possible because $d^{3}=\left(d^{2}-d\right)(d+1)+d$.
- Type B: We have two graphs $G_{\alpha}$, each a copy of $K_{d+1}$, whose vertex-sets together cover the special vertices $y_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq 2 d+2$.
- Type C: For every $j, 1 \leq j \leq d+1$, we have a graph $G_{\alpha}$ which is a copy of the complete bipartite graph $K_{d, d}$ with the sets $X_{2 j-1}, X_{2 j}$ as the two parts of its vertex-set.

It is easy to check that properties $1-3$ above are satisfied. To verify property 4 , suppose that the subsets $S_{\alpha} \subseteq V_{\alpha}$, for $\alpha \in I$, are independent in the respective graphs $G_{\alpha}$. The union of those $S_{\alpha}$ corresponding to $G_{\alpha}$ of type A leaves at least one vertex in each $W_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq 2 d+2$, uncovered, because for each $i$, we have $d^{2}$ complete graphs and $\left|W_{i}\right|=d^{2}+1$. Taking into account also the two $S_{\alpha}$ corresponding to $G_{\alpha}$ of type B , there remain uncovered vertices in at least $2 d$ of the sets $W_{i}$. Each of the $d+1$ sets $S_{\alpha}$ corresponding to $G_{\alpha}$ of type C is contained in one of the sets $W_{i}$, and therefore, the union of all $S_{\alpha}$ still leaves uncovered vertices in at least $d-1$ of the sets $W_{i}$. As $d \geq 2$, this means that $\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} S_{\alpha} \neq V$. Thus our construction has all the required properties.

## 3. COMMENTS

(1) Reed [4] also proposed a weaker version of his conjecture, in which he considered the quantities $d_{c}(v)$ also for $c \notin L_{v}$, requiring that $d_{c}(v) \leq d+1$ for every $v \in V$ and every $c \notin L_{v}$ (in addition to the other two conditions). It may be checked that our construction disproves this weaker version as well.
(2) It remains an interesting problem to evaluate or better estimate the function $g(d)$, now known to satisfy $d+2 \leq g(d) \leq 2 d$ for $d \geq 2$. The first open instance of this problem asks whether there must exist a proper list coloring when $d=3$ (that is, the vertex-color degrees are at most 3 ) and the lists have size 5 . For $d$ tending to infinity, it would be interesting to know whether $g(d)-d$, now known to be $o(d)$, actually tends to infinity.
(3) Another direction for further research is to look for special classes of graphs for which Reed's conjecture does hold true. This is known to be the case for chordal graphs (see Aharoni et al. [1]).
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